Name Michael Atana Soff Street 125 Clingman Ave City A Sheville ZIP\_28801

Date 8/12/2012

FILED

AUG 2 8 2012

Clerk's Office

Chairman Edward Finley North Carolina Utilities Commission 4325 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4325

E-7 508 1017

Durite to express my dismay at the proposed marger. Monopoly power never

Dear Chairman Finley,

leads to anything but more corruption. The air where I live smells pollited all the time. More of the status quo will only make this worse. Why are we dragging our hools on Romanable and some consumptions of the status quo will only make this worse. hode on Renewable progress. Crystal River was a disaster of Progress' making. Investigate and get all the fort's 6 don't deplicate this feasco, and don't make the consumers pay while the investors proport. 5621 Old Greensboro Road Randleman, NC 27317 August 23, 2012

FILED AUG 2 8 2012



N.C. Utilities Commission

Mr. Ed Finley, Chairman North Carolina Utilities Commission 4325 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-4325

OFFICIAL COPY

Subject: Duke Energy Merger and Customer Service

Dear Mr. Finley:

E-7 508 1017

I agree with NC WARN that Duke Energy should be required to show their true merger motivations in view of their recently divulged plans to spend \$2 Billion revamping nuclear plants. This will certainly result in rate increases. I personally fear that Duke hopes to become so large and involved in so many states they cannot be affectively regulated by state commissions.

Recently I received an inquiry letter from Duke Energy about the meter at a seldom used power source at my barn. The letter asked if I wanted to continue service and have the meter checked as it hadn't moved in four months. I replied telling Duke to continue service and check the meter, but not to send someone in a nondescript vehicle and uniform who did not know where the meter was to wander around my property as they had about two years ago. We have had thefts in the area. This is of course exactly what they did. On August 17, 2012 I was surprised to discover a man in my back yard near my house meter 200 yards and across the road from the meter in question. He had befriended my dogs to get into the fenced-in yard unannounced. It was not readily apparent this man was a Duke Energy contractor.

In February of 2012, I had a Duke Energy representative to my property to establish a service at a new apartment I am building. The service could come from one of two sources. One source involved an established 60 year old, 60 yards, unsupported overhead wire attached to a North State telephone pole. The power from this line is limited and if future requirements increase, any up grades would be at my expense. The second source could be from a closer new power line across the road. The representative selected the old line as this "would be cheaper for Duke Power".

This new Duke Energy is not the Duke Power of old. I do not believe we can trust Duke Energy to put the service of their residential customer first.

Dock E. Johnson

cc: NC WARN

# AFFICIAL COPY

FILED AUG 2 8 2012

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

E-7 SUB 1017 with Progress Keitha Ketchum



Asheville, NC 28806

From: Sent:

Campbell, Kim on behalf of Finley, Ed Tuesday, August 28, 2012 2:13 PM

Mount, Gail

To: Subject:

E-7, Sub 1017 Consumer Statement of Position

AUG 2 8 2012

Official copy

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

From: Timothy Goulding [mailto:timothygoulding@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, August 23, 2012 9:34 PM

To: Finley, Ed

Subject: Duke/Progress merger

Require Duke to prove, in open hearings, that the merger benefits customers. If they cannot, then dissolve the merger. So far, their credibility is zero.

From: Sent: Campbell, Kim on behalf of Finley, Ed Tuesday, August 28, 2012 2:14 PM

To:

Mount, Gail

Subject:

E-7, Sub 1017 Consumer Statement of Position

OFFICIAL COPY

AUG 2 8 2012

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

----Original Message-----

From: Jody Jarowey [mailto:jjarowey@nc.rr.com]

Sent: Monday, August 27, 2012 4:59 PM

To: Finley, Ed

Subject: Will the merger benefits customers?

Please for this corporate giant to prove - in open hearings - that the merger will benefit customers, as required by law!

It's my money Duke Energy is spending!!!

Jody Jarowey
JJarowey@nc.rr.com
919-933-2402

From:

Campbell, Kim on behalf of Finley, Ed. Tuesday, August 28, 2012 2:14 PM

Sent: To:

Mount, Gail

Subject:

E-7, Sub 1017 Consumer Statement of Position

Importance:

Low

From: Daniel L. Goetz [mailto:goetzeng@aol.com]

UFFICIAL COPY

AUG 2 8 2012

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Sent: Monday, August 27, 2012 12:19 PM To: Finley, Ed-

Subject: [POSSIBLE SPAM] \$2.2 billion for nuclear plant improvements--not divulged in Duke Energy merger plans

Importance: Low

Dear Chariman Finley,

I find the recent news alarming that Duke Energy did not disclose the costs for reairs at Crystal River were trending much higher than initially expected. The costs at Crystal River, along with the significant \$2.2 billion investment in the legacy Progress Energy nuclear fleet in the Carolinas, are critical factors to the Commission's ultimate decions about whether the merger is justified by the public convenience and necessity.

I urge you to have the Utilities Commission reinvestigate the basis of the announced benefit to the public from the merger. The \$650 million in fuel savings promised by Duke Energy, in light of information that the costs of Crystal River and the Progress Energy nuclear fleet, would be outweighed hugely by the \$2.2 billion in improvements. Those costs are both relevant to the merger and undisclosed by Duke Energy in the merger docket.

Sincerely,

Daniel L. Goetz 1414 Shepherd St. Durham, NC 27707

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From:

Campbell, Kim on behalf of Finley, Ed Tuesday, August 28, 2012 2:14 PM

Sent: To:

Mount, Gail

Subject:

E-7, Sub 1017 Consumer Statement of Position

OFFICIAL COPY

AUG 2 8 2012

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

From: bridgersj [mailto:bridgersj@bellsouth.net]

Sent: Sunday, August 26, 2012 9:17 PM

To: Finley, Ed

Subject: Re-open Merger Hearings

Mr. Finley

My opinion of Duke Power-Progress Energy merger is that it is a hoax and a scam on the customers of Progress Energy. Any company that has an executive officer that came from Enron is not to be trusted. As time passes the more evident is this fact. I think the Utilities Commission, FTC and the officials of Progress Energy have been "snowed". It is time to reverse the merger. This is downright un-American.

Jerry Bridgers

From: Sent:

Campbell, Kim on behalf of Finley, Ed. Tuesday, August 28, 2012 2:14 PM

To:

Mount, Gail

Subject:

E-7, Sub 1017 Consumer Statement of Position

OFFICIAL COPY

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

From: Matt B [mailto:nostickgnostic@gmail.com] Sent: Sunday, August 26, 2012 6:54 PM

To: Finley, Ed

Subject: Request For Hearing to Examine Duke Energy Merger's Benefit To Customer

Mr. Finley,

I join a tide of NC residents in insisting that Duke Energy's \$2 billion in hidden rate hikes be examined in public hearing, as is, to the best of my understanding, required by law. The size of a corporation should not exempt it from the scrutiny necessary to maintain a just operating environment.

Thank you, Matthew Burawski Orange County resident

From:

Campbell, Kim on behalf of Finley, Ed Tuesday, August 28, 2012 2:14 PM

Sent: To:

Mount Gail

Subject:

E-7, Sub 1017 Consumer Statement of Position

OFFICIAL COPY

FILED

AUG 2 8 2012

Clerk's Office
N.C. Utilities Commission

----Original Message----

From: Mike [mailto:ncvicarios@gmail.com] Sent: Thursday, August 23, 2012 9:56 PM

To: Finley, Ed

Subject: Duke/Progress

Dear Mr. Finley,

I am asking that your commission seriously consider the consequences of the recent activities related to the Duke/Progress Energy merger on our monthly power bill. There is tremendous responsibility involved in regulation of a govt. granted monopoly, and there should be serious consequences for hiding facts from the commission. Facts including who will lead the new organization as well as who will be responsible for over \$2 billion in nuclear plant improvements. We, the customer, are relying on your commission to ensure transparency and fairness in their billing practices.

I am also a customer of Aqua NC for our water and was very disappointed at the Commissions decision to grant them a rate hike against the recommendation of staff and contrary to any real need. I hope you will consider the consequences of the Duke/Progress activities and make decisions that do not penalize customers through higher rates.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mike Vicario

# OFFICIAL COP

## Mount, Gail

From:

Campbell, Kim on behalf of Finley, Ed Tuesday, August 28, 2012 2:15 PM

Sent: To:

Mount, Gail

Subject:

E-7, Sub 1017 Consumer Statement of Position

AUG 2 8 2012

Clerk's Office

N.C. Utilities Commission

----Original Message----

From: Tricia Wallingford-Hughes [mailto:TWallingfordHughes@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, August 27, 2012 5:00 PM

To: Finley, Ed

Subject: Duke Energy

Please for this corporate giant to prove - in open hearings - that the merger will benefit customers, as required by law!

It's my money Duke Energy is spending!!!

Tricia Wallingford Hughes

OFFICIAL COPY

From:

Campbell, Kim on behalf of Finley, Ed Tuesday, August 28, 2012 2:15 PM

Sent: To:

Mount, Gail

Subject:

E-7, Sub 1017 Consumer Statement of Position

FILED

AUG 2 8 2012

Clerk's Office
N.C. Utilities Commission

----Original Message----

From: Chris Deschene [mailto:cdeschene@nc.rr.com]

Sent: Monday, August 27, 2012 4:59 PM

To: Finley, Ed

Subject: Duke merger

Please for this corporate giant to prove - in open hearings - that the merger will benefit customers, as required by law!

It's my money Duke Energy is spending!!!

Chris Deschene